

Figure 1. Computer-generated representative diagram for $\left[\mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}-\right.$ $\left.\left[\mathrm{PCH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{2}\right]$, 2. Important bond distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg): $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1) 2.360$ (2), $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2) 2.027$ (3), $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6) 1.824$ (6), $\mathrm{P}-$ (2)-C(7) 1.836 (6), $\mathrm{Cr}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{C}(6) 120.7$ (2), $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{C}(6) 105.6$ (2), $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{C}(7)$ 105.9, $\mathrm{Cr}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2) 134.2$ (1).


Figure 2. Computer-generated representative diagram for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}[\mu-\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right]\left[\mathrm{P}\left(2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{2}\right]$, 3. Important bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles (deg): $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2) 2.362$ (2), $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1) 2.347$ (2), $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ 2.226 (2), $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2) 2.184$ (2), $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{O}(2) 1.677$ (6), $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1) 1.681$ (6), $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(2) 55.3$ (1), $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{P}(1) 62.0$ (1), $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2) 62.7$ (1), $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{Fe}(2) 124.9$ (1), $\mathrm{Fe}(2) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}(1) 118.7$ (2), $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}$ (2) 112.7 (2), $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}(2) 108.0$ (2), $\mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{O}(1) 95.1$ (2), $\mathrm{Fe}(1) \mathrm{P}-$ (1) $\mathrm{O}(1) 102.7$ (1).
(3) $\AA$, is close to those found ${ }^{2}$ in 1 (2.039 (1) $\AA$ ) and in uncoordinated diphosphenes. ${ }^{5}$ The angles $\mathrm{C}(7) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{P}(1), 105.9$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$, and $\mathrm{C}(6) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2), 105.6(2)^{\circ}$, are similar to the corresponding angles in 1. The $\mathrm{Cr}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)$ distance of 2.360 (2) $\AA$ is normal for a trialkyl phosphine $\operatorname{Cr}(\mathrm{CO})_{5}$ complex.

Figure 2 illustrates the structure of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\left[\mu-\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}\right][\mathrm{P}\right.$ -$\left.\left.\left(2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]_{2}\right]$ (3). The unique feature of this molecule is the behavior of the diphosphene ligand as both a side-on (to $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ ) and end-on (to $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ ) bonding ligand. The effects of this novel binding scheme are (i), due to side-on bonding of the diphosphene to $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$, the $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ distance, 2.184 (2) $\AA$, is considerably longer than the usual P-P double bond, ${ }^{1,2,5}$ (ii) the diphosphene ligand remains in a trans configuration, (iii) the $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2) \mathrm{O}(2)$ array is at an angle of ca. $94.0^{\circ}$ to the $\mathrm{Fe}-$ (1) $\mathrm{P}(1) \mathrm{P}(2)$ plane; this allows each P atom to behave as a potential two-electron donor to $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ while $\pi$-bonding to $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$. In the case of 3 , only one iron is end-on bound due to crowding by the bulky phosphorus substituents (this suggests that less crowded di-

[^0]phosphene complexes ${ }^{1}$ should also coordinate additional metals). The $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ and $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ distances, 2.347 (2) and 2.362 (2) $\AA$, are significantly longer than the $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ distance of 2.226 (2) $\AA$. Such differences have been noted elsewhere ${ }^{1}$ but are more evident here, perhaps because the aryloxy substituents make the $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ bond a poor side-on $\pi$-donor but enhance its $\pi$ acceptor properties when behaving as an end-on ligand. For the carbonyls bound to $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$, all the CO distances (mean 1.128 (6) $\AA$ ) are consistently shorter than the CO distances at $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ (mean $1.150(6) \AA$ ) and vice versa for the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{C}$ distances, $\mathrm{Fe}(1)-\mathrm{C}$ $($ mean $)=1.827$ (13) and $\mathrm{Fe}(2)-\mathrm{C}($ mean $)=1.787$ (16) $\AA$. This suggests that back donation from $\mathrm{Fe}(2)$ into CO is greater than for $\mathrm{Fe}(1)$ into CO or, in other words, that the side-on diphosphene is a better $\pi$-acceptor than the end on.

NMR data are as follows: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR: ${ }^{6}$ 2, d of d, 480.4, $474.1,449.4,443.0 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=510 \mathrm{~Hz} ; 3$, d of d, 237.1, 230.4, $196.8,190.0 \mathrm{ppm},{ }^{1} J_{\text {PP }}=532 \mathrm{~Hz} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2, $0.269,0.30$ ( $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{~d}$ ), $0.85(\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm} ; 31.46,1.65,1.80(t-\mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}$, s), 7.45 (aromatic CH, br s) ppm. IR $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}$ (Nujol): 2, 2060 (sh, m), 1955 (sh, br), 1934 (sh, m) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ; 3,2095,2055,2040,2030$, 2018, 1988, 1972, $1960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
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(6) ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data were obtained in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution. The chemical shifts (ppm) are relative to external $85 \% \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$, respectively.
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Recent months have witnessed a flurry of activity in the chemistry of kinetically stabilized compounds featuring double bonds between group 4A and group 5A elements. ${ }^{1}$ In group 5A, several diphosphenes $(\mathrm{RP}=\mathrm{PR}),{ }^{2}$ phosphaarsenes $(\mathrm{RP}=\mathrm{AsR}),{ }^{3}$

[^1]and diarsenes (RAs=AsR) ${ }^{4}$ have been isolated, four of which have now been structurally characterized. ${ }^{22, m, 3,46}$ We now report (i) the first indication that compounds with $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}$ and $\mathrm{P}=$ As bonds will react with organometallic reagents, (ii) a new mode of coordination for a diphosphene, and (iii) the first phosphaarsene complexes.

In a typical reaction, $0.563 \mathrm{~g}(1.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $(2,4,6-t-$ $\left.\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2}(1)^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ was treated with $0.51 \mathrm{~g}(1.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}-$ (CO) 9 in 45 mL of $n$-hexane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dark-red reaction mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature, and stirring was continued for 6 h . After filtration, the solvent and volatiles were removed by pumping in vacuo. Purification was effected by chromatography at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $n$-hexane/Florisil). Red-brown crystals of $\left(2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}(2)\left(\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{168-170}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Fe} ; n=4 \\
& 3, \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Ni} ; n=3
\end{aligned}
$$

dec) formed from $n$-hexane solutions held at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (yield $65 \%$ ). 2: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right) \mathrm{NMR}$ (AB pattern) $\delta_{\mathrm{A}}+423.6, \delta_{\mathrm{B}}+396.4,{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=578.0$ Hz ; IR ( $n$-hexane) $\nu_{\mathrm{co}} 1940,1915,1895,1880 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \lambda_{\max } 486(\epsilon=5200), 288(\epsilon=18700), 244 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon=$ 26000 ).

The X-ray structure of $2^{5}$ (Figure 1) reveals a $\mathbf{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ bond length of 2.050 (1) $\AA$, which is comparable to those reported for other compounds with $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{P}$ bonds. ${ }^{2 a, m, 8}$ Furthermore, within experimental error the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}$ framework is planar. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles in 2 are $6^{\circ}$ larger than those in the free ligand, $\mathbf{1 .}^{2 a}$ Steric crowding is also evidenced by the fact that the $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ bond is $0.03 \AA$ longer than the $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ bond.

Compound 2 represents a new mode of coordination for a diphosphene. Previously reported complexes were of type $\mathrm{I}^{9}$ or II. ${ }^{8}$


I


II

Compound 2 is also formed (along with 1 ) when ( $2,4,6-t$ $\left.\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{PCl}_{2}$ is treated with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ in THF solution. The

[^2]

Figure 1. View of the $\left(2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (2) molecule showing the atom numbering scheme. Important parameters: $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)$ 2.050 (1), $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}(1) 2.215$ (1), $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 1.893$ (4), $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7) 1.859$ (4) $\AA$; $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7) 108.4$ (1) ${ }^{\circ}, \mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}(1) 135.52$ (6) ${ }^{\circ}, \mathrm{P}$ (2) $-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1) 109.3(1)^{\circ}, \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Fe}(1) 115.1(1)^{\circ}$.
fact that only one $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ attaches is a consequence of steric effects. Likewise, only one $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ group attaches even when 1 is treated with excess $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ (in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ). [2,4,6-t$\left.\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{3}$ (3): ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ (AB pattern) $\delta_{\mathrm{A}}$ $+449.0, \delta_{\mathrm{B}}+422.0,{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=540.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$; IR ( $n$-hexane) $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}} 1800$, $1860,1955 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The very bulky diphosphene $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CP}=$ $\mathrm{PC}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{3}{ }_{3}^{2 \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{m}}$ does not react with $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The unsymmetrical diphosphene, $\left(2,4,6-t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{PCH}-$ $\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}$ also reacts with $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ to afford the corresponding ( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHP}$-bound $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ complex 4 in $63 \%$ yield. 4: ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (ABX system) $\delta_{\mathrm{A}}+424, \delta_{\mathrm{B}}+416,{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{PP}}=519.0,{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=$ $30.0,{ }^{3} J_{\mathrm{PH}}=-3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$; IR ( $n$-hexane) $\nu_{\mathrm{CO}}=1970$ (br), $2060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Preliminary studies of the reaction of the phosphaarsene ( $2,4,6$ -$\left.t-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{As}=\mathrm{PCH}\left(\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\right)_{2}{ }^{3}$ indicate the formation of two products, $\mathbf{5 a}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$, in which the $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ moiety is attached to phosphorus and arsenic atoms, respectively. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR: 5a, s +390; 5b, s +429 ppm.
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The replacement of the ring carbons of cyclohexane with heteroatoms containing electron lone pairs may greatly affect the relative free energies of potentially populated conformations as
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